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## 1．Introduction

It is known that in temperature compensated （TC）surface acoustic waves（SAW）resonators on the $\theta$ degree rotated Y －cut $\mathrm{LiNbO}_{3}$ substrate，both Rayleigh and shear horizontal（SH）SAWs exist， and their coupling gives significant impacts on their lateral propagation［1］．

Recently，the authors proposed the extended thin plate model for the analysis of lateral SAW propagation including two－SAW coupling［1，2］， and pointed out that the piston mode operation （PMO）［3］is possible without phase shifters when two SAW modes are coupled［4］．
This paper investigates how parameters defined in the extended thin plate model change with $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ thickness，electrode thickness and rotation angle on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$－overlay／Cu－grating／$\theta$ deg．rotated $\mathrm{YX}-\mathrm{LiNbO}_{3}$ $(\theta-\mathrm{LN})$ substrate structure．

## 2．Parameter determination

Fig． 1 shows the frequency dependence of the lateral wavenumber $\beta_{y}$ on an infinitely long grating on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} / \mathrm{Cu} / 128-\mathrm{LN}$ structure with grating period $p$ of $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ when the longitudinal wavenumber $\beta_{x}$ is fixed at $\pi / p$ ．The calculation is performed by the finite element method（FEM）．The width $l_{\mathrm{Cu}}$ and thickness $h_{\mathrm{Cu}}$ of grating electrodes are $0.5 p$ and $0.06 p$ ，respectively，and the $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ thickness $h_{\mathrm{SiO}_{2}}$ is $0.6 p$ ．
There are four branches；two of them are due to the coupled Rayleigh SAW while the others are due to the coupled SH SAW．They exhibit the cutoff nature at the frequencies $f_{R=}$ and $f_{\mathrm{S}=}$ giving the stopband edges，and each mode propagates laterally only above the corresponding cutoff frequency and is evanescent below the frequency．Only one branch is excitable electrically for each SAW mode．In this case，both the lower branches are excitable．

The extended thin plate model［1，3］gives the dispersion relations of these coupled SAW modes as solutions of

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} \beta_{x}^{2}+\beta_{y}^{2}-\rho \omega^{2} / c_{66} & b \beta_{x} \beta_{y}  \tag{1}\\
b \beta_{x} \beta_{y} & \beta_{x}^{2}+a_{2} \beta_{y}^{2}-\rho \omega^{2} / c_{66}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

where $a_{\mathrm{i}}=c_{i i} / c_{66}, b=1+c_{12} / c_{66}, c_{i j}$ are effective elastic

[^0]constants，$\omega$ is the radial frequency，and $\rho$ is the mass density．
Theses parameters are determined from cutoff frequencies $f_{R-}$ and $f_{\mathrm{S} \text {－}}$ and second－order gradient of the calculated dispersion curves at these frequencies． Only those of excitable branches are considered in the fitting．Fig． 1 also shows the fitted dispersion curves calculated by Eq．（1）with these parameters． It is seen that agreement is excellent with the FEM result．In this cases，$a_{1}=0.92, a_{2}=0.48$ ，and $b=0.17$ ．


Fig． 1 Frequency dispersion of $\beta_{y}$ when $\beta_{x}=\pi / p$ ．Solid lines：calculated by FEM，and marks（ $\mathbf{\Delta}$ and $\bullet$ ）： calculated by the model given by Eq．（1）

## 3．Variation of model parameters with structural parameters

The procedure described above was applied to the $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} / \mathrm{Cu} / \theta-\mathrm{LN}$ structure，and we investigate how the model parameters（ $a_{1} a_{2}$ ，and $b$ ）change with $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ and Cu thicknesses $h_{\mathrm{SiO}_{2}}$ and $h_{\mathrm{cu}}$ and the rotation angle $\theta$ ．
Fig． 2 shows variation of $a_{1} a_{2}$ and $b$ with $h_{\mathrm{SiO}_{2}}$ and $\theta$ for three $h_{\mathrm{Cu}}$ settings．It is seen that influence of $h_{\mathrm{Cu}}$ and $\theta$ is relatively small，and they only affect the amplitude of the parameters．On the other hand， $a_{1}$ and $b$ change parabolically with $h_{\mathrm{SiO}_{2}}$ while $a_{2}$ decreases monotonically with $h_{\mathrm{SiO}_{2}}$ ．
Parameters in gap region are also extracted．The procedure is the same as that of IDT region but there exists only one electrode per IDT period and the width of electrode is set at $l_{\mathrm{Cu}}=0.75 p$ ．To reduce the SAW velocities，$h_{\mathrm{Cu}}$ is set quite large in the case．
The results are shown in Fig．3．In this case，all the parameters change smoothly with $h_{\mathrm{Cu}}, \theta$ and $h_{\mathrm{SiO} 2}$ ．It should be noted that $b$ is more sensitive than other
two parameters to $h_{\mathrm{SiO}_{2}}, \theta$ and $h_{\mathrm{Cu}}$.


Fig. 2 Change of model parameters in IDT region with $h_{\mathrm{SiO} 2}$ and $\theta$, Solid lines: $h_{\mathrm{Cu}}=0.06 p$, dot lines: $h_{\mathrm{Cu}}=0.08 p$, and dash dot lines: $h_{\mathrm{Cu}}=0.1 p$

## 4. Conclusion

This paper discussed how the parameters defined in extended thin plate model change with $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ thickness, electrode thickness and rotation angle on the $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} / \mathrm{Cu} / \theta$-LN structure.
We will apply the parameters determined in the paper to find structures to support the PMO by using the technique given in [4]. Details will be discussed at the conference.
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Fig. 3 Change of model parameters in gap region with $h_{\mathrm{SiO}_{2}}$ and $\theta$. Solid lines: $h_{\mathrm{Cu}}=0.4 p$, dot lines: $h_{\mathrm{Cu}}=0.44 p$, and dash dot lines: $h_{\mathrm{Cu}}=0.48 p$
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